Intermediate superexponential localization with Aubry-André chains

Arindam Mallick,^{1,2,*} Alexei Andreanov⁽⁾,^{1,3,†} and Sergej Flach^{1,3,‡}

¹Center for Theoretical Physics of Complex Systems, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34126, Republic of Korea

²Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Łojasiewicza 11, 30-348 Kraków, Poland

³Basic Science Program, Korea University of Science and Technology (UST), Daejeon 34113, Korea

(Received 28 April 2023; revised 28 June 2023; accepted 9 July 2023; published 7 August 2023)

We demonstrate the existence of an intermediate superexponential localization regime for eigenstates of the Aubry-André chain. In this regime, the eigenstates localize similar to the eigenstates of the Wannier-Stark ladder. The superexponential decay emerges on intermediate length scales for large values of the *winding length*—the quasiperiod of the Aubry-André potential. This intermediate length scale localization is present both in the metallic and insulating phases of the system. In the insulating phase, the superexponential localization is periodically interrupted by weaker decaying tails to form the conventional asymptotic exponential decay predicted for the Aubry-André model. In the metallic phase, the superexponential localization is only a transient phenomena and happens for states with energies away from the center of the spectrum and is followed by a superexponential growth into the next peak of the extended eigenstate. By adjusting the parameters, it is possible to extend the range of the superexponential localization regime is demonstrated in quasiperiodic discrete-time unitary maps.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.064204

I. INTRODUCTION

Uncorrelated disorder potentials enforce exponential Anderson localization for all eigenstates in one-dimensional potentials [1,2]. This holds for any strength of the disorder potential relative to the kinetic energy strength induced, e.g., by the hopping t between nearest neighbor (NN) sites on a one-dimensional chain. Quasiperiodic potentials instead can be viewed as highly correlated aperiodic ones. Consequently, the one-dimensional model shows a metal-insulator transition with eigenstates changing from extended to exponentially localized upon increasing the quasiperiodic potential strength λ relative to the hopping t. This was first demonstrated for the Aubry-André chain (AA) [3,4]. The AA model and its generalizations proved to be useful in different branches of physics, e.g., many-body localization [5-8] and topological insulators [9–11]. Theory predicts [3] and simulations confirm [4] the asymptotic exponential decay of the eigenstates [12] for strong enough potential λ . However, the intermediate but potentially long-lasting nonasymptotic decay, i.e., the decay of the probability profile on intermediate length scales, can show substantial deviation from the established asymptotic ones.

The AA model has four parameters: Hopping strength t, potential strength λ , winding length W or spatial quasiperiod of the potential, and a phase ϕ which is usually absorbed by a shift of space origin. The AA model is known to show asymp-

totic exponential eigenstate localization in its localized phase $|\lambda| > 2|t|$. The localization length ξ depends only on one parameter-the ratio of potential strength to hopping strength (see the section below). In particular, ξ does not depend on the winding length, the position of the eigenstate, nor its eigenenergy. However, the precise form of the localized eigenstate does depend on the winding length, its position in space relative to the AA potential, and also on its energy. We show that such eigenstates develop a broad core of nondecaying oscillating parts for large winding length due to the slow variation of the AA potential and the finite strength of the hopping t. At the same time, the decay away from the core is controlled over large length scales of the order of the winding length by a faster than exponential-superexponential-factorial decay in the same regime. Further details can also depend on the energy and spatial location of the eigenstate. Exponential decay is observed on distances larger than the winding length. Remarkably, similar features are also observed in the metallic phase, $|\lambda| < 2|t|$, for states with energies close to the edge of the spectrum. The superexponential decay is similar to the decay of the eigenstates of the Wannier-Stark ladder [13,14]. Very high precision and very large lattice sizes are required in this regime to see that such eigenstates are actually exponentially localized or extended, as predicted by the theory [3].

We further show that similar results hold for unitary maps (UMs) as well. Time evolution under discrete-time UMs is usually computationally faster compared to the Hamiltonian evolution [15–17]. Therefore, identifying a UM with properties identical or similar to a given Hamiltonian model is important for the development of fast simulation tools for unitary dynamics. We consider a UM: A split-step discrete-time quantum walk [18–20] with a spatially varying quasiperiodic

^{*}arindam.mallick@uj.edu.pl

[†]aalexei@ibs.re.kr

^{*}sflach@ibs.re.kr

phase factor. Similarly to the AA Hamiltonian model with $|\lambda| > 2|t|$, the eigenstates of this UM localize exponentially [21]. For smaller phase factor and coin parameters, we identify the intermediate superexponential localization regime. This allows us, in principle, to use these maps to approximate Wannier-Stark Hamiltonians in the UM setting and achieve faster simulation of their dynamics.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss the AA Hamiltonian model and its intermediate localization properties for large winding length W, including analytical derivation of the asymptotic decay of eigenstates for power-law potentials on a chain. Section III covers numerical evidence for the intermediate superexponential localization in the AA chain with large winding lengths. In Sec. IV, we construct the quasiperiodic discrete-time UM that features the transient plane wave and the superexponential localization regimes for fine-tuned parameters, similarly to the AA Hamiltonian. We conclude in Sec. V.

II. INTERMEDIATE LOCALIZATION REGIME IN THE AUBRY-ANDRÉ CHAIN

The AA Hamiltonian with an incommensurate potential on the 1D chain reads [3]

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [-t(|n\rangle\langle n+1| + |n\rangle\langle n-1|) + \lambda \cos(\alpha n + \phi)|n\rangle\langle n|].$$
(1)

Here $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is the NN hopping parameter, while $\alpha/2\pi$ is an irrational number ensuring the quasiperiodicity of the potential. Without losing generality, we consider $\alpha > 0$. The potential $\cos(\alpha n + \phi)$ almost repeats itself at lattice sites *n* and $n + \lfloor 2\pi/\alpha \rfloor$ (or $n + \lceil 2\pi/\alpha \rceil$) for arbitrary *n*. Therefore, we define the *winding length*

$$\mathcal{W} = \lceil 2\pi/\alpha \rceil \ge 1. \tag{2}$$

The Hamiltonian Eq. (1) has *asymptotically* exponentially localized eigenstates for $|\lambda| > 2|t|$, which are peaked around some eigenstate-dependent lattice site n_0 . The asymptotic localization length

$$\xi = \frac{1}{\ln\left|\frac{\lambda}{2t}\right|} \tag{3}$$

describes the asymptotic decay of all eigenstates $\psi_n \sim e^{-|n|/\xi}$. We emphasize that the localization length is independent of the winding length W (or α) and the phase ϕ , and so is the localization transition [3].

A. Large winding length

We now demonstrate that there is an *intermediate localization* regime whose span is governed by the winding length Wand the phase ϕ . In this intermediate regime, eigenfunctions decay faster than exponential, similarly to the eigenstates of the Wannier-Stark ladder. For that, we consider the case of very small values of $|\alpha| \ll 1$: There is a region of the 1D chain, a subset of the chain sites $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{L}$ and a particular eigenstate peak $n_0 \in \mathbb{L}$, the following condition holds: Therefore, we can approximate the cosine potential up to the second-order expansion in $\alpha(n - n_0)$ as

$$\cos(\alpha n + \phi) \approx \cos(\alpha n_0 + \phi) \left[1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (n - n_0)^2 \right]$$
$$- \sin(\alpha n_0 + \phi) [\alpha (n - n_0)]. \tag{5}$$

The choice of the phase ϕ allows us to approximate either linear or quadratic potentials. For $\alpha n_0 + \phi \approx (2m + 1)\pi/2$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) is approximated by the Wannier-Stark Hamiltonian on a 1D chain:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n\rangle \langle n+1| + |n\rangle \langle n-1| - (-1)^m \lambda \alpha \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (n-n_0) |n\rangle \langle n|.$$
(6)

The effective DC field strength is given by $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha$, which has to be compared to the hopping strength *t* [14]. Given $|\alpha| \ll 1$, one needs strong potential strengths $|\lambda/t| \gg 1$ to achieve even moderate field values $\mathcal{F} \approx t$.

For $\alpha n_0 + \phi \approx m\pi$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the AA Hamiltonian Eq. (1) approximates the discrete simple harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian on a 1D chain:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n\rangle \langle n+1| + |n\rangle \langle n-1|$$

+ $(-1)^m \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (n-n_0)^2 \right] |n\rangle \langle n|.$ (7)

The harmonic potential strength is given by $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha^2/2$.

B. Asymptotic decay of eigenfunctions for power-law potentials on a chain

We start by discussing the localization properties of eigenstates in tight-binding chains with power-law potentials. A tight-binding lattice Hamiltonian with a simple power potential with exponent μ takes the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[-t(|n\rangle \langle n+1| + |n\rangle \langle n-1|) + \mathcal{F} n^{\mu} |n\rangle \langle n| \right].$$
(8)

The eigenvalue equation for an eigenstate at energy E reads

$$E\psi_{n} = -t\psi_{n+1} - t\psi_{n-1} + \mathcal{F}n^{\mu}\psi_{n}.$$
 (9)

The eigenstates localize factorially, i.e., superexponentially in n for the linear potential $\mu = 1$, i.e., the Wannier-Stark case [13,14]. This implies that the ratio ψ_n/ψ_{n-1} decays asymptotically as n^{-1} for large n, i.e., far away from the peak of the eigenstate. For larger exponents ($\mu > 1$), we expect an even stronger decay with n, and we use this observation to approximate the eigenvalue equation for very large n, away from the peak of the localized eigenstate. Hence we neglect $E \psi_n$ and $t \psi_{n+1}$ compared to the other terms in Eq. (9) for a finite eigenvalue E, a finite hopping strength t, and a finite field strength \mathcal{F} , and simplify the eigenequation to a simple recursion:

$$\frac{t}{\mathcal{F}}n^{-\mu}\psi_{n-1}\approx\psi_n.$$
(10)

$$\psi_{n+n_0} \approx \left(\frac{t}{\mathcal{F}}\right)^n (n!)^{-\mu} \psi_{n_0},\tag{11}$$

where n_0 is the peak of the eigenstate as for example captured in Eqs. (6) and (7). Similar arguments apply for very large negative values of n, i.e., on the other side of the peak of an eigenstate ψ_n .

It follows from the normalization condition of the probability distribution that ψ_{n_0} is a finite constant. Therefore, the eigenstate decays superexponentially for large positive lattice sites *n* as

$$\left(\frac{t}{\mathcal{F}}\right)^n (n!)^{-\mu} \approx \left(\frac{tn^{-\mu}}{\mathcal{F}e^{-\mu}}\right)^n (2\pi n)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} \approx e^{-\mu n \ln(n)}.$$
 (12)

Here the Stirling's approximation $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n}(n/e)^n$ for large n was used. Therefore, we expect the same superexponential decay of the eigenstates for any power-law potential n^{μ} , up to the factor μ in the exponent.

We note that this asymptotic behavior is very different from the asymptotic localization properties in the continuous 1D space $\{x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where the eigenvalue equation is

$$E\psi_{x} = -\frac{d^{2}\psi_{x}}{dx^{2}} + \mathcal{F}(x - x_{0})^{\mu}\psi_{x}.$$
 (13)

For the linear case $\mu = 1$, the wave function ψ_x takes the form of an Airy function which for $x \gg x_0$ decays as $(\mathcal{F}^{1/3}x)^{-1/4}e^{-\frac{2}{3}(\mathcal{F}^{1/3}x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$. On the other hand, for the simple harmonic potential $\mu = 2$, the wave function ψ_x is a product of Gaussian and Hermite polynomials—their asymptotic decay is controlled by the Gaussian: $e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}x^2}}{2}}$. In both cases, the asymptotic decay is faster than that of the discrete cases: $e^{-\mu x \ln(x)}$.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We refer to $|\psi_n|^2$ (modulus squared of an eigenstate's amplitude) as probability at a site *n* and talk about the probability profile $\{|\psi_n|^2\}$ of an eigenstate. As well, we refer to the core of a localized eigenstate as its peak. We set the hopping strength t = 1 and measure α in units of $2\pi\varphi$ with the golden ratio $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.61803$. We consider finite chains with open boundary conditions, numerically diagonalize the AA Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with $\phi = \pi/2$ for different winding lengths W, and check the decay of probability profiles of the eigenstates.

To quantify the decay of the probability profiles and discriminate between their exponential and superexponential decay, we use two metrics. First, we define the local gradient of the logarithms of the probability with respect to the lattice position:

$$\Delta(n) = \frac{\ln |\psi_{n+1}|^2 - \ln |\psi_{n-1}|^2}{2} = \ln \left| \frac{\psi_{n+1}}{\psi_{n-1}} \right|.$$
(14)

We refer to it as simply the *gradient* in the text below. A constant in *n* value of the gradient indicates exponential localization while the decay with *n* signals faster than exponential decay. For an exponential decay $e^{-2|n|/\xi}$ with a constant localization length ξ , $\Delta(n)$ is a step function, with values $\frac{2}{\xi}$ to

the left and $-\frac{2}{\xi}$ to the right of the probability peak n = 0. Second, for a superexponential, e.g., factorial decay of the eigenstates of the Wannier-Stark ladder, the following ratio takes an asymptotically constant value:

$$R(\mu, n) = \frac{\ln |\psi_{n+n_0}|^2 - \ln |\psi_{n_0}|^2}{\mu \ln(|n|!) - |n| \ln |t/\mathcal{F}|} \xrightarrow[|n| \to \infty]{-2}, \quad (15)$$

as follows from Eq. (11). We use the gradient Δ and the R value in our numerical simulations to detect and quantify the superexponential decay. Since the value of R depends on the exponent μ , R can distinguish different superexponential decays, e.g., due to Wannier-Stark or harmonic potentials. Only the correct value of the exponent μ leads to -2 asymptotically. We also point out that deviations of both Δ and R from their expected asymptotic values allows us to detect the character of nonexponential decays.

In what follows, we refer to *localization on intermediate scales* as simply *localization*, while the usual AA exponential localization is referred to as *asymptotic localization*. In all other cases, the type of localization should be clear from the context.

Since our numerical data contained extremely small amplitudes, $\approx 10^{-200}$, and to ensure the correctness of the numerical results, we used an arbitrary precision Python library MPMATH [22] for all our numerical calculations. We used the precision up to 200 decimal places for all numerical calculations presented below. Additionally, we verified that the results presented in Fig. 3 are stable and do not change for a lower precision of 150 decimal places.

A. Insulating regime: $|\lambda| > 2|t|$

Remember that our main focus is $W \gg 1$. Deep in the insulating regime $|\lambda| \gg 2|t|$, a typical eigenstate with energy close to zero will be located close to a zero of the AA potential and have a span (core)

$$S \approx \frac{4|t|}{|\lambda|\alpha} \approx \frac{2|t|}{\pi|\lambda|} \mathcal{W},$$
 (16)

so $S \ll W$ in accord with Eq. (6). There are three length scales present in the problem: The localization length ξ , the winding length W, and the span of an eigenstate S. The span S is the length scale over which the change in potential is of the order of the free particle bandwidth or maximum change in kinetic energy 4|t|, so the Hamiltonian appears as that of a free particle on a chain over this length scale. The eigenstate shows no significant decay features over that length scale. Beyond this core, the eigenstate will decay superexponentially until the slow quasiperiodic potential returns to its value at the core center.

In this regime, all eigenstates are asymptotically exponentially localized, however, their intermediate behavior is controlled and depends strongly on the value of the winding length W. The parameters of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) used below— $\lambda = 100, \phi = \pi/2, t = 1$ —are chosen to approximate the Wannier-Stark Hamiltonian Eq. (6) around lattice position $n_0 = 0$. For this choice of parameters, the potential energy function Eq. (1) turns into sin(αn).

For small winding length W = 1, exponential localization is observed on all scales, as shown in Fig. 1, and the profile



FIG. 1. The log-linear plot of the spatial profile (blue) of the E = 0 eigenstate of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with W = 1, ($\alpha = 2\pi\varphi$), $\lambda = 100$, $\phi = \pi/2$, $n_0 = 0$, and N = 201 sites with open boundary conditions. The black dashed line is the exponential fit with the exponent $2/\xi = 7.82$ predicted by the theory Eq. (3). Bottom inset: Δ (14) (blue) and the same exponential fit (dashed black). Top inset: *R* value (15) evaluated for $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha$.

of the E = 0 eigenstate (blue line) matches well with the exponential decay predicted by the theory (black dashed line). The estimate of the size of the eigenstate's core Eq. (16) gives a small value $S \approx 0.004$ which is consistent with the eigenstate being strongly peaked at a single site. The exponential decay is further confirmed by the behavior of the gradient Δ shown in the bottom inset of Fig. 1. The step-function-like behavior of the envelope of the oscillating profile for Δ implies exponential decay of the probability profile. At the same time, the *R* value Eq. (15) evaluated for $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha$ is not constant and is different from the -2 value, indicating the absence of the superexponential localization.

We increase the winding length to W = 47. The estimate of the size of the eigenstate's core Eq. (16) produces $S \approx 0.3$, i.e., the state is still strongly peaked around a single lattice site. Figure 2(a) shows the log-linear plot of the probability profile for the eigenvector of E = 0 (blue) and the exponential fit (dashed black) predicted by the theory Eq. (3). Overall, the decay of the profile is exponential, although significant deviations develop that are particularly well exposed in Fig. 2(b). This is also confirmed by the bottom inset of Fig. 2(a), which shows an oscillating Δ to the left and to right of the eigenstate's peak. Note that the oscillation period is $W/2 \approx 23$, since the zeros of $\sin(\alpha n)$ repeat with period W/2.

The average over the oscillating regions Δ still matches the theoretical prediction of the localization length $\xi : 2/\xi = 2 \ln |\lambda/2t| = 2 \ln(50) = 7.82$. The step-function-like behavior of the envelope of the cover of oscillating profile for Δ in the inset of Fig. 2(a) implies exponential decay of the probability on average. For short distances around the peak of the eigenstates, the profile decays superexponentially, as implied by the inset of Fig. 2(b), where the *R* value in the region around n = 0 is computed with $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha$. The value of *R* is not constant and approaches -2 only very close to the origin n = 0, suggesting that $\mathcal{W} = 47$ is not large enough and the intermediate superexponential localization regime only starts to emerge at around n = 0.



FIG. 2. The log-linear plot of the spatial profile (blue) of the E = 0 eigenstate of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with W = 47, ($\alpha = 2\pi\varphi/75$), $\lambda = 100$, $\phi = \pi/2$, $n_0 = 0$, and N = 201 sites with open boundary conditions. (a) The central part of the full eigenstate's profile (blue) and the exponential fit (dashed black) predicted by the theory Eq. (3). Bottom inset: Δ , Eq. (14), (blue) and the corresponding exponential fit (dashed black). Top inset: *R* value, Eq. (15), computed for $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha$. (b) The zoomed-in central part of (a) (blue) and the exponential fit (dashed black). Insets: The respective zoomins of the insets for Δ and *R* from (a).

To clearly expose the intermediate length-scale superexponential localization, we increase the winding length up to W = 3091 keeping all the other parameters the same as before. Now the predicted core size becomes S = 20, and the superexponential decay should last over one-half of the winding length, i.e., over 1545 sites (which is much larger the system size used in the numerics). Figure 3 shows the spatial profile $|\psi_n|^2$ of the eigenstate at E = 0 in the log-linear scale. Overall, the profile looks similar to the previous cases with a peak at the origin and decay away from it. First, we note that around the peak n = 0 the profile does not decay at all, but rather resembles a plane wave as shown in the top left inset of Fig. 3: Here the kinetic energy dominates over the potential energy up to the length scale $4|t|/(|\lambda \alpha|) \approx 20$ for the choice of the parameters, so the particle is almost free and the eigenstate resembles a plane wave. This estimate is confirmed in the top left inset of Fig. 3, where the dashed red



FIG. 3. The log-linear plot of the spatial profile (blue) of the E = 0 eigenstate of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) with W = 3091 ($\alpha = 2\pi\varphi/5000$); all the other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2. Lattice size is N = 341 with open boundary conditions. Top left inset: Zoom-in around the peak of the eigenstate profile showing the *planewave* regime extending from -10 to 10. The vertical dashed red lines mark the theoretical prediction $4|t|/|\lambda\alpha| \approx 20$. Top right inset: *R* value (15) for $\mathcal{F} = \lambda\alpha$. Bottom inset: The gradient Δ Eq. (14).

lines mark the $4|t|/(|\lambda\alpha|)$ scale. The gradient Δ is not constant but decays (with increase of *n*) as shown in the bottom inset of Fig. 3. This suggests that the decay away from the center of the profile deviates strongly from the exponential fit Eq. (3). We see from the main figure and the bottom inset the absence of exponential localization all the way down to 10^{-200} , making the expected exponential asymptotic regime absent for all practical purposes. The *R* ratio (the top right inset of Fig. 3) quickly approaches the constant value -2 away from the peak, implying the onset of the superexponential decay, i.e., the analytical prediction of Eq. (11).

B. Metallic regime: $|\lambda| < 2|t|$

In the metallic regime $|\lambda| < 2|t|$, all eigenstates are asymptotically extended. For eigenenergies |E| < 2t, eigenstate profiles are indeed relatively evenly spread, with only weak modulations stemming from the AA potential variations. However, for energies closer to the band edges $2t < |E| \leq$ $2t + \lambda$, the profiles of the eigenstates are different and consist of periodically arranged cores with superexponential decay and growth in between them, as follows from the numerical results presented below. We choose the winding length $W = 248 (\alpha = 2\pi \varphi/400)$ and $\lambda = 1.5, \phi = \pi/2, t = 1$. The profiles of two different eigenstates located in the different parts of the spectrum—at the center, E = 0, and closer to the edge, E = 3.136—are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 on linear and logarithmic scales, respectively. At the center of the spectrum, the eigenstates are extended, as illustrated by the E = 0 case, which shows a modulation of the spatial profile with half of the winding length W/2 = 124.

Away from the center of the spectrum, eigenstates show localization on intermediate length scales far into the tails of the eigenstates. The E = 3.136 eigenstate illustrates this behavior. The nonconstant, decreasing gradient Δ in the left bottom inset of Fig. 5 implies a nonexponential decay/growth



FIG. 4. Spatial profiles of the E = 0 (blue) and E = 3.136 (red) eigenstates in the metallic regime $|\lambda| < 2|t|$ of the Aubry-André model Eq. (1). The parameters of the Hamiltonian: W = 248 ($\alpha = 2\pi\varphi/400$), $\lambda = 1.5$, $\phi = \pi/2$, and lattice size N = 1001 with open boundary conditions.

of the $|\psi_n(E = 3.136)|^2$ (for convenience, Δ only for the central core is shown). The central core is located around site $n_0 = 62$, where AA is approximated by the quadratic potential Eq. (7) rather than the linear one, Eq. (6). The right bottom inset of Fig. 5 shows the R value for the central core evaluated from Eq. (15) with $\mu = 2$, $\mathcal{F} = \lambda \alpha^2/2$. It never does flatten around the value -2 as expected for the localization induced by quadratic potential on a lattice, Eqs. (7) and (11). The intermediate localization becomes stronger towards the edges of the spectrum (not shown). Yet, this localization is only a transient behavior on intermediate length scales, since asymptotically all eigenstates are extended [3], and the eigenstate eventually revives for large enough system sizes, in accordance with theory [3]. Nevertheless, for the winding lengths and system sizes considered, some eigenstates appear as faster-than-exponentially localized for all practical



FIG. 5. Log-linear plot of the spatial profiles of the E = 0 (blue) and E = 3.136 (red) eigenstates in the extended regime $|\lambda| < 2|t|$ of the Aubry-André model Eq. (1). The parameters of the Hamiltonian: W = 248 ($\alpha = 2\pi \varphi/400$), $\lambda = 1.5$, $\phi = \pi/2$, and lattice size N =1001 with open boundary conditions. Left inset: Gradient Δ for the E = 3.136 eigenstate (red). Right inset: The *R* value for the E =3.136 eigenstate (red).



FIG. 6. Pictorial representation of two time steps, T = 1, 2 of the unitary map. The small red spheres indicate lattice sites. Blue shaded rectangles indicate the coin operations, steps I and II in Eq. (17), the yellow shaded rectangles indicate phase operations, step III in Eq. (17), which depend on the lattice site positions.

purposes since their amplitudes go down all the way to 10^{-95} (see Fig. 5). This is similar to Lifshitz-tail physics, where the particles are trapped by the peaks or wells of the effective harmonic potentials and not because of the (quasi)randomness of the bulk [23].

IV. INTERMEDIATE SUPEREXPONENTIAL LOCALIZATION IN DISCRETE-TIME UNITARY MAPS

The emergence of the superexponential localization on intermediate length scales followed by an asymptotic exponential decay in the AA Hamiltonian Eq. (1) can also be realized in other settings with quasiperiodic potentials. In particular, we consider a discrete-time UM, the linear and nondisordered version of the maps used in Refs. [17,18]. These maps provide a convenient computational tool for studying long-time dynamics of nonlinear systems [16,17]. It is therefore important to extend these maps to various settings, e.g., to mimic the effect of a DC field.

We start by defining the UM. For that, we consider a single particle on an infinite 1D chain with time evolution governed by the brickwork action of local unitary operators and described by the following three steps depicted schematically in Fig. 6: All the sites are split into disjoint pairs of the NN sites.

Step I: Probability amplitudes on every pair of sites are mixed by applying an orthogonal SO(2) matrix:

$$a_{2n-1}(T+1) = \cos\theta\psi_{2n-1}(T) + \sin\theta\psi_{2n}(T),$$

$$a_{2n}(T+1) = -\sin\theta\psi_{2n-1}(T) + \cos\theta\psi_{2n}(T).$$

Step II: Make a cyclic shift of all sites by one lattice spacing and mix the amplitudes of the pairs again by applying the same SO(2) matrix:

$$b_{2n}(T+1) = \cos \theta a_{2n}(T+1) + \sin \theta a_{2n+1}(T+1),$$

$$b_{2n+1}(T+1) = -\sin \theta a_{2n}(T+1) + \cos \theta a_{2n+1}(T+1).$$

Step III: Add local phases ε_n to all amplitudes:

$$\psi_n(T+1) = e^{i\varepsilon_n} b_n(T+1).$$
 (17)

Combining all three steps together produces the final UM:

$$\psi_{2n}(T+1) = e^{i\varepsilon_{2n}} [\cos^2 \theta \psi_{2n}(T) - \cos \theta \sin \theta \psi_{2n-1}(T) + \sin \theta \cos \theta \psi_{2n+1}(T) + \sin^2 \theta \psi_{2n+2}(T)], \psi_{2n+1}(T+1) = e^{i\varepsilon_{2n+1}} [\cos^2 \theta \psi_{2n+1}(T) - \sin \theta \cos \theta \psi_{2n}(T) + \cos \theta \sin \theta \psi_{2n+2}(T) + \sin^2 \theta \psi_{2n-1}(T)].$$
(18)

In the absence of the local phase, $\varepsilon_n = 0$ for all sites *n*, the map is translationally invariant and has extended eigenstates with eigenvalues e^{-iE} sitting on the unit circle in the complex plane. The eigenvalues are parametrized by their angle (phase) *E* which is a function of lattice momentum or wave number *k*: $E = \pm \arccos(\cos^2 \theta + \cos k \sin^2 \theta)$ [24]. For our paper, we consider $0 < \theta < \pi$. The bandwidth is $\Delta E = 4\theta$. For a quasiperiodic local phase $\varepsilon_n = -\alpha n$ with an irrational α/π , this unitary evolution displays asymptotic exponential localization with localization length

$$\xi = \frac{1}{|\ln(|\sin\theta|)|},\tag{19}$$

as shown in Ref. [21] (Proposition 3.2). This setup is similar to the case of the electric quantum walk with an irrational phase [25,26] or aperiodic quantum walks [27].

Similarly to the AA case, we consider $|\alpha| \ll 1$ so $|\alpha n| \ll |n|$ for all *n* on a finite chain, so that $e^{-i\alpha n} \approx 1 - i\alpha n$ is justified. Furthermore to allow NN hopping only (as in the AA Hamiltonian) we also require $\theta \ll 1$, so that $\cos \theta \approx 1$ and $\sin \theta \approx \theta$. Then the $\alpha \theta$ and θ^2 terms are negligible compared to α and θ respectively, and the UM Eq. (18) becomes approximately

$$\psi_{2n}(T+1) \approx (1 - i\alpha(2n))\psi_{2n}(T) - \theta(\psi_{2n-1}(T) - \psi_{2n+1}(T)), \quad (20a)$$

$$\psi_{2n+1}(T+1) \approx (1 - i\alpha(2n+1))\psi_{2n+1}(T) - \theta(\psi_{2n}(T) - \psi_{2n+2}(T)). \quad (20b)$$

The two Eqs. (20) are the same up to the relabelling of the lattice sites, and correspond to the discrete time version of the 1D Wannier-Stark Schrödinger Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{ap}|\psi(T)\rangle = i[|\psi(T+1)\rangle - |\psi(T)\rangle],$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{ap} = i\theta \sum_{n} |n\rangle\langle n+1| - |n\rangle\langle n-1| + \alpha \sum_{n} n|n\rangle\langle n|.$$
(21)

From the above expressions, we can define the effective DC field strength $\mathcal{F} = \alpha$ and hopping parameter $t = i\theta$. Based on this mapping of the parameters, we expect that the eigenstates of the exact UM Eq. (18) display superexponential localization on intermediate length scales for $|\alpha|, \theta \ll 1$, followed by the asymptotical exponential localization, similarly to the AA case. We also define the winding length $\mathcal{W} = \lceil 2\pi/\alpha \rceil$ that controls the intermediate length scale behavior just like in the AA model above. In analogy to the AA chain reasoning, we predict that the span of the core of an eigenstate is $S \approx \frac{4|\theta|}{\alpha} \approx \frac{2|\theta|}{\pi} \mathcal{W}$ and superexponential decay should go uninterrupted over one winding length \mathcal{W} .

To verify these predictions numerically, we first transform the UM Eq. (18) into a discrete time quantum random walk [28]. The UM Eq. (18) acts differently on odd and even sites and, consequently, has two bands in the spectrum. The UM can be viewed as a special case of the split-step discrete-time quantum walk (SS-QW) [19,20] on a 1D chain. To reformulate our UM as the quantum walk, each pair of odd and even sites of the original chain are merged into a single site of a new chain with two internal states: Spin up \uparrow and spin down \downarrow , corresponding to the odd and even sites of the original chain, respectively [29]:

$$|2n-1\rangle \mapsto |m, \uparrow\rangle = (1 \ 0)^T \otimes |m\rangle,$$
$$|2n\rangle \mapsto |m, \downarrow\rangle = (0 \ 1)^T \otimes |m\rangle.$$
(22)

The spin degrees of freedom define the coin space on every site of the new chain. The new lattice site index m = 2n takes only even values to match with the minimum distance between unit cells in the original lattice. A single time step of the SS-QW reads

$$U_{\rm ss-qw} = P \cdot S_2 \cdot C_2 \cdot S_1 \cdot C_1,$$

$$P = \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varepsilon_{m,\uparrow}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\varepsilon_{m,\downarrow}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes |m\rangle \langle m|,$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} |m+2\rangle \langle m| & 0\\ 0 & |m\rangle \langle m| \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_1 = \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} |m\rangle \langle m| & 0\\ 0 & |m-2\rangle \langle m| \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_j = \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta & (-1)^j \cos \theta\\ (-1)^{j+1} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \otimes |m\rangle \langle m|.$$
(23)

Here $C_{1,2}$ are unitary operations in the coin space while $S_{1,2}$ are the coin-state-dependent shift operators on the chain. *P* is the coin- and site-dependent unitary phase shift. Note that the conventional discrete-time quantum walk is recovered by setting C_2 to an identity matrix. In what follows, we focus on the localization properties of eigenstates of the evolution operator of this quantum walk, Eq. (23).

Numerical results

We consider a 1D chain with periodic boundary conditions: The phase $\varepsilon_{n,\downarrow,\uparrow}$ is not periodic and has a jump in value between the sites *N* and 1. This choice of the boundary condition is dictated by convenience of avoiding the open boundary conditions for a discrete time quantum walk. As we are expecting superexponential localization, the boundary conditions should not matter much once the eigenstate is localized away from the site 1, *N* where the jump of the phase $\varepsilon_{n,\downarrow,\uparrow}$ occurs. We diagonalize U_{ss-qw} , transform an eigenstate of the quantum walk Eq. (23) at a given pseudoenergy e^{-iE} to an eigenstate of the original UM Eq. (18), and check the spacial decay of the resulting eigenstate using the same two metrics as in the AA model case: Δ and *R*.

For small winding length, W = 1, we expect clear exponential localization. This is confirmed in Fig. 7: The E = 0



FIG. 7. The log-linear plot of the spatial profile of the eigenstate at E = 0 (blue) of the unitary map with $\alpha = \theta = 2\pi\varphi$, W = 1. The lattice size is N = 154 for the quantum walk. The dashed black lines are the exponential fit with the slope given by $2/(\xi) = 2/2.549 = 0.785$, computed from Eq. (19). Bottom inset: The gradient Δ (blue) and the gradient (dashed black) that is used in the exponential fit. Top inset: The *R* value (red).

eigenstate profile matches well the exponential fit given by Eq. (19), with only minor deviations. The bottom inset shows Δ : While fluctuating, its average value agrees well with the value given by Eq. (19). In the top inset, the *R* value is different from -2, indicating the absence of the superexponential localization.

Upon increasing the winding length, for intermediate value $W = \lceil 2\pi/\alpha \rceil = 47$, the E = 0 eigenstate profile (blue) is still fitted reasonably by an exponential (dashed black) as suggested by Fig. 8, however, significant deviations are visible. The bottom inset shows the gradient Δ (blue): There are significant oscillations, however, the analytical expression for the localization length (19) (dashed black) still provides a reasonable fit to the eigenstate profile. The top inset shows the

 10^{-16} 0 ∝__2 10-39 10-62 $|\psi_n(e^{-iE}=1)|^2$ -100 ò 100 10-85 10^{-108} 5.0 10-131 2.5 0.0 10^{-154} -2.5 -5.0 10-177 -100ò 100 10-200 -150-100-50Ò 50 100 150 n

FIG. 8. The log-linear plot of the spatial profile of the E = 0 eigenvector (blue) of the unitary map with W = 47, $\alpha = 2\pi\varphi/75 = \theta$, $\xi = 0.5$ [according to the formula Eq. (19)]. The system size for the quantum walk is N = 154. The dashed black lines are the exponential fits with the slope $2/(\xi) = 4$. Bottom inset: The gradient Δ of the profile (blue) and the gradient used in the exponential fit (dashed black). Top inset: The *R* value (red).



FIG. 9. The log-linear plot of the spatial profile of the E = 0 eigenvector (blue) of the unitary map with W = 3091, $\alpha = 2\pi\varphi/5000$, $\theta = 10\alpha$, and $\xi = 0.257$. Lattice size for the quantum walk is N = 154. Bottom inset: The gradient Δ (blue) is not constant. Top right inset: The *R* value (red) flattens around the -2 value. Top left inset: Zoom-in around the peak of the eigenstate profile showing the *plane-wave* regime extending from -20 to 20. The vertical dashed red lines mark the theoretical prediction $4|\theta/\alpha| = 40$.

R value: It is not equal to -2 and changes with *n*, indicating the absence of Wannier-Stark-like localization.

For the large winding number $\mathcal{W} = \left[2\pi/\alpha\right] = 3091$, the E = 0 eigenstate's profile is shown in Fig. 9. The profile looks very similar to that of the AA model with large winding number, shown in Fig. 3. Close to the peak, the profile resembles a plane wave, decaying further away from the peak. The top left inset shows the plane-wave part of the profile around the peak. The dashed red lines mark the predicted boundaries of the plane-wave-like regime, $4|\theta/\alpha| = 40$, where kinetic and potential energy become comparable, and match well the numerics. The bottom inset shows the gradient Δ : It is not constant over all distances shown, implying the absence of the exponential localization. At the same time, the value of R, shown in the top right inset, is almost constant and close to -2 for large distances, signaling the factorial, i.e., superexponential localization down to the extremely small values of $\approx 10^{-200}$. This makes the detection of the asymptotic exponential localization regime quite difficult, as it has to emerge at still smaller values.

V. CONCLUSION

We have shown that for a general power-law potential on a tight-binding chain, all the eigenstates decay factorially i.e., superexponentially. This is a slower decay as compared to the case in continuous space.

We further demonstrated that such superexponential decay emerges on intermediate length scales in the 1D AA model. In the insulating regime of the AA model, $|\lambda| > 2|t|$, we demonstrated that by fine-tuning the parameters of the Hamiltonian, one can obtain an intermediate superexponential localization regime that persists down to extremely small values of the amplitudes of the eigenstates. This makes the asymptotic exponential localization irrelevant for many practical purposes. The presence of this regime is controlled by the winding length $W \approx 2\pi/\alpha$, over which the AA potential almost repeats itself. This behavior is based on the observation that for large winding lengths, much bigger than the lattice spacing or comparable to the system size, the AA potential is well approximated by a power-law potential, e.g., the Wannier-Stark (linear) or discrete harmonic oscillator (quadratic) potentials, for extended parts of the chain.

In the metallic regime, $|\lambda| < 2|t|$, theory predicts extended eigenstates only. For small W, within our finite system size, all the eigenstates are indeed extended. For large winding lengths W, the eigenstates close to the center of the spectrum, $E \leq 2t$, are extended. However, surprisingly, the eigenvalues closer to the edges of the spectrum feature superexponentially localized peaks. One would have to consider system sizes much larger than the winding length W to see that these states are extended.

We also demonstrated analytically and confirmed numerically that such intermediate superexponential localization preceding the asymptotic exponential localization is also achievable in UMs/discrete-time quantum walks. This setup could be implemented in state-of-art experimental devices [30]. Another studied discrete-time Floquet map is the quantum kicked rotator [31], which also supports Anderson-like localization in the momentum space. An interesting future problem would be to identify the regime of parameters of the rotator which admits a similar intermediate localization.

Our paper suggests an additional regime to explore in the well-known quasiperiodic lattice model, which is useful in many branches of physics. The large winding length parameters can be achieved by state-of-the-art experimental devices, e.g., in a one-dimensional bichromatic potential obtained by superimposing two optical lattices having very different wavelengths [32]. In experiments, the parameter α in the quasiperiodic potentials can be approximated by a rational number, which is a ratio of two large mutually prime integers, and the denominator is larger than the lattice size [33]. In this setting, the large winding length, required to observe the intermediate localization, is achieved by choosing the denominator much larger than the numerator. It is also worth pointing out that we expect intermediate localization to also emerge in periodic potentials that vary sufficiently slowly enough over sufficiently large parts of the lattice size, similarly to the case discussed in Sec. III B.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the Institute for Basic Science (IBS) in the Republic of Korea through Project No. IBS-R024-D1. The work of A.M. was partially funded by the National Science Centre, Poland (Grant No. 2021/03/Y/ST2/00186) within the QuantERA II Programme, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 101017733. A partial support by the Strategic Programme Excellence Initiative within Priority Research Area (DigiWorld) at Jagiellonian University is acknowledged.

- P. Anderson, Absence of diffusion in certain random lattices, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958).
- [2] B. Kramer and A. MacKinnon, Localization: Theory and experiment, Rep. Prog. Phys. 56, 1469 (1993).
- [3] S. Aubry and G. André, Analyticity breaking and Anderson localization in incommensurate lattices, Ann. Israel Phys. Soc 3, 18 (1980).
- [4] Y. Lahini, R. Pugatch, F. Pozzi, M. Sorel, R. Morandotti, N. Davidson, and Y. Silberberg, Observation of a Localization Transition in Quasiperiodic Photonic Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 013901 (2009).
- [5] V. Mastropietro, Localization of Interacting Fermions in the Aubry-André Model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 180401 (2015).
- [6] E. V. H. Doggen and A. D. Mirlin, Many-body delocalization dynamics in long Aubry-André quasiperiodic chains, Phys. Rev. B 100, 104203 (2019).
- [7] A. Štrkalj, E. V. H. Doggen, I. V. Gornyi, and O. Zilberberg, Many-body localization in the interpolating Aubry-Andréfibonacci model, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 033257 (2021).
- [8] M. Sarkar, R. Ghosh, A. Sen, and K. Sengupta, Signatures of multifractality in a periodically driven interacting Aubry-André model, Phys. Rev. B 105, 024301 (2022).
- [9] Q.-B. Zeng, Y.-B. Yang, and Y. Xu, Topological phases in non-Hermitian Aubry-André-Harper models, Phys. Rev. B 101, 020201(R) (2020).
- [10] Q.-B. Zeng, Y.-B. Yang, and Y. Xu, Higher-order topological insulators and semimetals in generalized Aubry-André-Harper models, Phys. Rev. B 101, 241104(R) (2020).
- [11] J. Fraxanet, U. Bhattacharya, T. Grass, D. Rakshit, M. Lewenstein, and A. Dauphin, Topological properties of the long-range Kitaev chain with Aubry-André-Harper modulation, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 013148 (2021).
- [12] G. Roati, C. D'Errico, L. Fallani, M. Fattori, C. Fort, M. Zaccanti, G. Modugno, M. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, Anderson localization of a non-interacting Bose-Einstein condensate, Nature (London) 453, 895 (2008).
- [13] H. Fukuyama, R. A. Bari, and H. C. Fogedby, Tightly bound electrons in a uniform electric field, Phys. Rev. B 8, 5579 (1973).
- [14] A. Mallick, N. Chang, W. Maimaiti, S. Flach, and A. Andreanov, Wannier-Stark flatbands in Bravais lattices, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 013174 (2021).
- [15] I. Vakulchyk, M. Fistul, Y. Zolotaryuk, and S. Flach, Almost compact moving breathers with fine-tuned discrete time quantum walks, Chaos 28, 123104 (2018).
- [16] I. Vakulchyk, M. V. Fistul, and S. Flach, Wave Packet Spreading with Disordered Nonlinear Discrete-Time Quantum Walks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 040501 (2019).

- [17] M. Malishava and S. Flach, Lyapunov Spectrum Scaling for Classical Many-Body Dynamics Close to Integrability, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 134102 (2022).
- [18] E. Hamza, A. Joye, and G. Stolz, Dynamical localization for unitary Anderson models, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 12, 381 (2009).
- [19] T. Kitagawa, Topological phenomena in quantum walks: Elementary introduction to the physics of topological phases, Quantum Inf. Process. 11, 1107 (2012).
- [20] A. Mallick and C. M. Chandrashekar, Dirac cellular automaton from split-step quantum walk, Sci. Rep. 6, 25779 (2016).
- [21] C. Cedzich and A. H. Werner, Anderson localization for electric quantum walks and skew-shift CMV matrices, Commun. Math. Phys. 387, 1257 (2021).
- [22] T. mpmath development team, mpmath: A Python library for arbitrary-precision floating-point arithmetic (version 1.3.0) (2023), http://mpmath.org/.
- [23] G. Modugno, Anderson localization in Bose-Einstein condensates, Rep. Prog. Phys. 73, 102401 (2010).
- [24] M. Malishava, Thermalization of classical weakly nonintegrable many-body systems, Ph.D. thesis, 2022, arXiv:2211.01887.
- [25] C. Cedzich, T. Rybár, A. H. Werner, A. Alberti, M. Genske, and R. F. Werner, Propagation of Quantum Walks in Electric Fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 160601 (2013).
- [26] P. Arnault, B. Pepper, and A. Pérez, Quantum walks in weak electric fields and Bloch oscillations, Phys. Rev. A 101, 062324 (2020).
- [27] P. Ribeiro, P. Milman, and R. Mosseri, Aperiodic Quantum Random Walks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 190503 (2004).
- [28] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury, Quantum random walks, Phys. Rev. A 48, 1687 (1993).
- [29] Alternatively, one can map even and odd sites to spin up and down, respectively.
- [30] C. H. Alderete, S. Singh, N. H. Nguyen, D. Zhu, R. Balu, C. Monroe, C. M. Chandrashekar, and N. M. Linke, Quantum walks and dirac cellular automata on a programmable trappedion quantum computer, Nat. Commun. 11, 3720 (2020).
- [31] M. Santhanam, S. Paul, and J. B. Kannan, Quantum kicked rotor and its variants: Chaos, localization and beyond, Phys. Rep. 956, 1 (2022).
- [32] M. Modugno, Exponential localization in one-dimensional quasi-periodic optical lattices, New J. Phys. 11, 033023 (2009).
- [33] M. Schreiber, S. S. Hodgman, P. Bordia, H. P. Lüschen, M. H. Fischer, R. Vosk, E. Altman, U. Schneider, and I. Bloch, Observation of many-body localization of interacting fermions in a quasirandom optical lattice, Science 349, 842 (2015).