



QUANOMET

LENA Laboratory for Emerging





IPKM & LENA, TU Braunschweig Univ. Paris Diderot, France LNCMI-CNRS Grenoble, France Chung-Ang Univ. Seoul, Korea MPK / POSTECH, Korea





OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY / METROLOGY GROUP

The hunt for non-Abelian quasi-particles



Willett, et al., PRL **59**, 1776 (1987).

Kitaev honeycomb magnets – a promising route towards Majorana fermionic excitations



A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).



$$H_{K} = -J_{a} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle a} \sigma_{i}^{a} \sigma_{j}^{a} \longrightarrow H_{K} = -iJ_{a} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle a} u_{i,j}^{a} c_{i} c_{j}$$

Kitaev honeycomb magnets – a promising route towards Majorana fermionic excitations



A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).



$$H_{K} = -J_{a} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle a} \sigma_{i}^{a} \sigma_{j}^{a} \longrightarrow H_{K} = -iJ_{a} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle a} u_{i,j}^{a} c_{i} c_{j}$$

Experimental Evidence for Majorana-fermionic excitations?

Seunghwan Do

α -RuCl₃ – a close realization of a Kitaev honeycomb magnet



Wolter, et al., Phys. Rev. B **96**, 041405 (2017).

Kitaev candidates vs. Kitaev model: $H = H_{\kappa} + J + \Gamma + ...$

Sandilands, et al., PRL **114**, 147201 (2015). Glamazda, et al., Nat. Commun. **7**, 12286 (2016). Do, et al., Nature Physics **13**, 1079 (2017). Glamazda, et al., PRB **95**, 174429 (2017). Kasahara, et al., Nature **559**, 227 (2018).

α -RuCl₃ – a close realization of a Kitaev honeycomb magnet



Wolter, et al., Phys. Rev. B **96**, 041405 (2017).

20



Kitaev candidates vs. Kitaev model: $H = H_{\kappa} + J + \Gamma + ...$

Sandilands, et al., PRL **114**, 147201 (2015). Glamazda, et al., Nat. Commun. **7**, 12286 (2016). Do, et al., Nature Physics **13**, 1079 (2017). Glamazda, et al., PRB **95**, 174429 (2017). Kasahara, et al., Nature **559**, 227 (2018).

α -RuCl₃ – thermodynamic fingerprints of fractionalization



Kitaev candidates vs. Kitaev model: $H = H_{\kappa} + J + \Gamma + ...$

Sandilands, et al., PRL **114**, 147201 (2015). Glamazda, et al., Nat. Commun. **7**, 12286 (2016). Do, et al., Nature Physics **13**, 1079 (2017). Glamazda, et al., PRB **95**, 174429 (2017). Kasahara, et al., Nature **559**, 227 (2018).



α -RuCl₃ – thermodynamic fingerprints of fractionalization



Kasahara, et al., Nature 559, 227 (2018).

α -RuCl₃ – spectroscopic fingerprints for an intermediate phase





Novel excitation in intermediate phase? Possibly Majorana bound state?



Banerjee, et al., npj Quantum Mater. 3, 8 (2018).

Balz, et al., PRB **100**, 060405(R) (2019).





J. Knolle, et al., PRL **113**, 187201 (2014).
J. Nasu, et al., Nat. Phys. **12**, 912 (2016).
S. M. Winter, et al., Nat. Commun. **8**, 1152 (2017).





J. Knolle, et al., PRL **113**, 187201 (2014).
J. Nasu, et al., Nat. Phys. **12**, 912 (2016).
S. M. Winter, et al., Nat. Commun. **8**, 1152 (2017).



S. M. Winter, et al., Nat. Commun. 8, 1152 (2017).



S. M. Winter, et al., Nat. Commun. 8, 1152 (2017).

Spectral weight re-distribution & field-induced Majorana bound state



Spectral weight re-distribution & field-induced Majorana bound state



Spectral weight re-distribution & field-induced Majorana bound state



Majorana Bound State vs. spin-wave excitations

Seunghwan Do

Majorana bound state vs. spin-wave excitations



ED: field-induced excitations well-described by conventional spin-waves

A. Sahasrabudhe, et al., arXiv:1908.11617 (2019).

Majorana bound state vs. spin-wave excitations





Acknowledgments

Kwang-Yong Choi Chung-Ang, Seoul

Youngsu Choi Chung-Ang, Seoul

Seunghwan Do

Oak Ridge National Lab

Clement Faugeras LNCMI Grenoble

Peter Lemmens TU Braunschweig

Yann Gallais **Paris-Diderot**

Majorana bound state

Rananshitt Com

6

Intensity (arb. un.)

Raman shift (cm⁻¹) 40 60 80 10

20

20

16

¥ 12