Compact localized states and flat-band generators in one dimension
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Flat bands (FB) are strictly dispersionless bands in the Bloch spectrum of a periodic lattice Hamiltonian, recently observed in a variety of photonic and dissipative condensate networks. FB Hamiltonians are fine-tuned networks, still lacking a comprehensive generating principle. We introduce a FB generator based on local network properties. We classify FB networks through the properties of compact localized states (CLS) which are exact FB eigenstates and occupy $U$ unit cells. We obtain the complete two-parameter FB family of two-band $d = 1$ networks with nearest unit cell interaction and $U = 2$. We discover a novel high symmetry sawtooth chain with identical hoppings in a transverse dc field, easily accessible in experiments. Our results pave the way towards a complete description of FBs in networks with more bands and in higher dimensions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Flat band (FB) networks are tight-binding translationally invariant lattices which ensure the existence of one (or several) completely dispersionless bands in the spectrum [1]. FBs have been studied in a number of lattice models in three-dimensional, two-dimensional, and even one-dimensional (1D) settings [2–6] and recently realized experimentally with photonic waveguide networks [7–12], exciton-polariton condensates [13,14], and ultracold atomic condensates [15,16].

At variance with the spatially continuum case of a two-dimensional electron gas with Landau levels of the time-reversal symmetry broken quantum Hall effect, FB networks can co-exist with time reversal symmetry and essentially rely on destructive interference. The latter is responsible for the existence of compact localized states (CLS). These exact eigenstates to the FB energy have strictly zero support outside a finite region of the lattice. With one CLS given, the whole CLS set is generated by lattice translations. This set can be orthogonal or nonorthogonal but still forms a complete basis for the FB Hilbert space. The CLS are classified by the number $U$ of lattice unit cells they occupy [17]. The presence of a FB signals macroscopic degeneracy and diverging density of states of a corresponding Hamiltonian. Slight perturbations of such a system will in general lift the degeneracy, leading to uniquely defined eigenstates. Emergent transport properties, in turn, are defined by the type of perturbation. The zero width of the FB calls for nonperturbative effects of the weakest perturbations like disorder or many-body interactions. Thus FB models are high-symmetry cases in a general control parameter space of perturbed lattice Hamiltonians, at which qualitatively different physical phases of matter meet, similar to quantum phase transition points [18]. Examples of such nontrivial and abrupt changes of the wave-function properties of perturbed FB systems are the appearance of flat-band ferromagnetism for many-body interacting fermions [1–3], energy dependent scaling of disorder-induced localization length [17,19], singular mobility edges with quasiperiodic potentials [20,21], and Landau-Zener Bloch oscillations in the presence of external fields [22].

It is highly desirable to introduce a clear classification of FBs and to have local FB testing routines which tell FBs and non-FBs apart avoiding potentially costly band structure calculations. But the most important missing item is a systematic FB generator which allows us to obtain all FB models within a given class. Several approaches to construct FB networks have been proposed using graph theory [2], local cell construction [3], so-called “Origami rules” in decorated lattices [23], and repetitions of mini arrays [24]. None of them starts with a classification of FBs and can be therefore considered at best as a partial accomplishing of a generator which lacks completeness. In addition, a number of FB models have been identified by intuition or simply accidentally [25].

A first attempt to classify FBs through the properties of CLS was published in Ref. [17]. The observation was that for $U = 1$ the CLS set forms an orthogonal complete FB basis, with the possibility to detangle the CLS from the rest of the lattice. The inverse procedure—taking any lattice, assigning a set of $v$ detangled CLS states with energies $\epsilon_v$, to each unit cell of the lattice, and finally performing the inverse entangling procedure of mixing the CLS states with the states from each unit cell—leads to the most general $U = 1$ FB generator for arbitrary lattice dimension, arbitrary number of bands, and arbitrary number of FBs amongst them [17]. However, for all $U > 1$ cases—for various reasons the more interesting and nontrivial ones—the inverse detangling method fails, since CLS states are not anymore orthogonal. Therefore, we are in need of a different approach. In this paper we present a nontrivial FB generator for $U = 2$ in one dimension. We also define a simple local FB testing routine.

II. THE MODEL

We consider a one-dimensional ($d = 1$) translationally invariant lattice with $v > 1$ lattice sites per unit cell $n$ and the wave function $\Psi = (\ldots, \psi_{n-1}, \psi_n, \ldots)$, where the individual vectors $\check{\psi}_n$ have elements $\psi_{na}$ and $a = 1, \ldots, v$ labels the sites inside the unit cell. The time-independent Schrödinger
The existence of CLS in a FB lattice can now be used to design a simple local test routine as to whether a given network has a FB of class $U$ or not. Consider the $U \times U$ block matrix

$$
\mathcal{H}_U = \begin{pmatrix}
H_0 & H_1 & H_2 & H_3 & \ldots & H_U \\
H_1^\dagger & H_0 & H_1 & H_2 & \ldots & H_U^{-1} \\
H_2^\dagger & H_1^\dagger & H_0 & H_1 & \ldots & H_U^{-2} \\
& & & & \ddots & \ddots \\
H_U^\dagger & H_{U-1}^\dagger & H_{U-2}^\dagger & H_{U-3}^\dagger & \ldots & H_0 
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and an eigenvector $(\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\psi}_U)$ with eigenvalue $E_{FB}$ such that

$$
\sum_{m=-m_c}^{m_c} H_m \tilde{\psi}_{p+m} = 0, \quad \tilde{\psi}_{1\leq 0} = \tilde{\psi}_{1>U} = 0
$$

for all integers $p$ with $-m_c + 1 \leq p \leq 0$ and $U + 1 \leq p \leq U + m_c$. Similar equations hold for $H_m^\dagger$. These two sets of equations ensure $\psi_{1\leq 0} = \psi_{1>U} = 0$. Then the Hamiltonian is FB to class $U$. As an example, consider $m_c = 1$, see Fig. 2. The corresponding condition simplifies to $H_1^\dagger \tilde{\psi}_U = H_1 \tilde{\psi}_1 = 0$. Given a network Hamiltonian, and successively increasing the test value for $U = 1, 2, \ldots$, we arrive at a systematic procedure to identify a FB model with any finite class $U$. Given one computed CLS, the whole set of CLS vectors is generated by discrete translations along the lattice. This set is linearly independent if the set of vectors $\{\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\psi}_U\}$ is linearly independent (see Appendix A for details). It follows that the CLS set for $U = 1, 2$ is always linearly independent (Appendix A). A linearly independent CLS set has dimension equal to the dimension of the Bloch eigenvectors of the FB and therefore completely spans the FB Hilbert subspace. The Bloch polarization vectors $\tilde{u}(k)$ of the FB can then be obtained by computing $\tilde{u}(k) \sim \sum_{l=1}^{U} \psi_l e^{i(U-1)k}$ (Appendix A4).
IV. FLAT BAND GENERATOR

With that we arrive at our core result—a systematic local FB generator based on CLS properties. Without loss of generality we will use a canonical form of $H$: A unitary transformation on each unit cell will diagonalize $H_0$ sorting its diagonal elements (eigenvalues) $H_{0\mu\mu}$ monotonically increasing with $\mu$. A trivial gauge $H \to H + \zeta I$ (with $I$ the identity matrix) sets $H_{1\uparrow} = 0$, and a subsequent rescaling $H \to kH$ ensures $H_{2\uparrow} = 1$ (the case of a completely degenerate $H_0$ will be treated separately). For convenience we set $m_1 = 1$, which corresponds to nearest neighbor hopping and is one of the most typical cases considered both experimentally and theoretically. Then we have to find those $V \times V$ matrices $H_0, H_1$ which solve the following set of equations for $1 \leq i \leq U$:

$$H_0^i \vec{\psi}_{i-1} + H_0 \vec{\psi}_{i} + H_1 \vec{\psi}_{i+1} = E_{FB} \vec{\psi}_{i},$$

$$(5)$$

$$H_1^i \vec{\psi}_{i} = H_1 \vec{\psi}_{i} = 0, \quad \vec{\psi}_{0} = \vec{\psi}_{i+1} = 0.$$  

(6)

Choosing a set of $H_0, H_1$ we solve the eigenvalue problem (5) under the constraint of (6) which makes $H_1$ singular and $\vec{\psi}_i$ the left and right eigenvectors of the zero mode(s) of $H_1$.

Let us choose the simplest yet nontrivial case of two bands $V = 2$ which completely fixes the nondegenerate matrix $H_0$:

$$H_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad H_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right).$$

(7)

Since $H_1$ is singular and of size 2, it has exactly one zero mode and can be parametrized with $H_1 = |\alpha| \theta \delta \varphi$ as follows:

$$H_1 = |\alpha| e^{i \varphi} \cos \theta \cos \varphi e^{i \gamma \varphi} \sin \theta \sin \varphi.$$  

(8)

The prefactor $\alpha = |\alpha| e^{i \phi_0}$ can be complex, and $(\varphi, \gamma)$ and $(\theta, \delta)$ are the left and right eigenvectors of the nonzero eigenvalue of $H_1$ (see Appendix B). The upper plot in Fig. 1(a) illustrates this canonical network structure. A rotation of the unit cell basis by an angle $\omega$ shifts the angles $\theta \to \theta + \omega$ and $\varphi \to \varphi + \omega$ and modifies $H_0 = (\cos^2 \omega \cos^2 \omega \sin \omega \sin \omega)$. Therefore the canonical structure can be always mapped onto a generalized sawtooth (gST) chain with three different hoppings $t_{1,2,3}$ per triangle and an onsite energy detuning [see bottom of Fig. 1(a) and Appendix C for details].

V. RESULTS

We test our generator with the known solutions for $U = 1$ [Fig. 1(a) in Ref. [17]]. Equations (5) and (6) reduce to $H_0 \vec{\psi}_{1} = E_{FB} \vec{\psi}_{1}$ and $H_1 \vec{\psi}_{1} = H_1 \vec{\psi}_{1} = 0$. Then the FB energy is $E_{FB} = 0$ or $E_{FB} = 1$. For $E_{FB} = 0$ it follows $\theta = \pi / 2$ or $3 \pi / 2$ and $\varphi = \pi / 2$ or $3 \pi / 2$. Respectively, for $E_{FB} = 1$ we find $\theta = 0$ or $\pi$ and $\varphi = 0$ or $\pi$. The canonical form of $H_1$ has exactly one nonzero element on the diagonal, e.g., for $E_{FB} = 0$ it is $H_1 \equiv 0$. We therefore obtain the detangled structure of the cross-stitch lattice [cf. Fig. 2(a) in Ref. [17]]. The dispersive band energy is given by $E(k) = C + 2|\alpha| \cos (k + \phi_0)$, where $C = 0$ for $E_{FB} = 1$ and $C = 1$ for $E_{FB} = 0$. The case of degenerate $H_0 \equiv 0$ does not change the structure of $H_1$ and leads to $E_{FB} = 0$ and $C = 0$. Interestingly the cross-stitch lattice family in Ref. [17] was characterized by three parameters—the location of the flat band energy, the width of the dispersive band, and an overall gauge. Here we obtain a four-dimensional control parameter space. The first three—the overall gauge $\zeta$, the rescaling $k$, and the bandwidth control $|\alpha|$—reproduce the findings from Ref. [17]. The additional fourth control parameter is the phase $\phi_0$. It corresponds to a time-reversal symmetry breaking effective magnetic field in one dimension and completes the class of $mc = 1, n = 2, U = 1$ FB lattices. Remarkably there is another hidden $U = 1$ case with two flat bands for which $H_1$ has precisely one nonzero element on one of the two off-diagonals: $\theta = 0, \varphi = \pi / 2$ or $\theta = \pi / 2, \varphi = 0$. To observe that one has also to redefine the unit cell (see Appendix B for details).

We proceed to the nontrivial $U = 2$ case. In this case the Hamiltonian $H_{U=2}$ is a $2 \times 2$ block matrix

$$H_{2} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} H_0 & H_1 \\ H_1^\dagger & H_0 \end{array} \right).$$  

(9)

where $H_0$ is given by (7) and $H_1$ is given by (8).

The equations (5) and (6) read

$$H_0 \vec{\psi}_{1} + H_1 \vec{\psi}_{2} = E_{FB} \vec{\psi}_{1}, \quad H_1 \vec{\psi}_{1} = 0.$$  

(10)

$$H_1 \vec{\psi}_{1} + H_0 \vec{\psi}_{2} = E_{FB} \vec{\psi}_{2}, \quad H_1^\dagger \vec{\psi}_{2} = 0.$$  

(11)

The details of solving the above equations are given in Appendix B. The final result reads:

$$\delta = \gamma, \quad |\alpha| = \sqrt{|\sin(2 \theta) \sin(2 \varphi)| / |\sin(2 (\theta - \varphi))|}.$$  

(12)
The solutions (12) and the Hamiltonian $H$ are invariant under the transformation $\{\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + p\pi, \theta \rightarrow \theta + q\pi, \varphi_0 \rightarrow \varphi_0 + (p + q)\pi\}$ with $p, q$ being integers. The irreducible angle parameter space therefore reduces to $0 \leq \varphi, \theta \leq \pi$. Since $|\varphi|$ is real, the solutions only exist for $0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \cap \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi < \pi$ or $\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta < \pi \cap 0 \leq \varphi < \frac{\pi}{2}$, i.e., two disjoint regions shown for the flat-band energy $E_{FB}$ in Fig. 3. The corresponding band structure is given by (details are given in Appendix B)

$$E_{FB} = \frac{\cos(\varphi)\cos(\theta)}{\cos(\theta - \varphi)}, \quad (13)$$

The bandwidth $\Delta_w$ of the dispersive band is given by

$$\Delta_w = 2\sqrt{-\sin(2\theta)\sin(2\varphi)\sqrt{\cos(\varphi)\cos(\theta)\cos(\theta - \varphi)}}$$

and is always bounded $|\Delta_w| \leq 2$.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The flat-band energy is always gapped away from the dispersive band by a gap $\Delta_{\varphi} = \Delta E = \frac{\pi}{2\cos(\varphi)\cos(\theta)}$ with $\Delta E$ being the distance between the flat-band energy and the dispersive band center (except for few isolated points discussed below). The ratio $\Delta_w/\Delta E$ is shown in Fig. 4. This ratio is zero for $\theta = \pi/2 + \varphi$. There the FB energy is gapped infinitely far away from the dispersive band. Using a proper rescaling parameter $\kappa$ and a gauge $\zeta$ we can always renormalize the band gap to a finite number, at the expense of flattening the dispersive band. This special line corresponds to the case of degenerate $H_0$ and two flat bands of class $U = 1$ (see Appendix B3 for details). On the boundary lines $\varphi, \theta = 0, \pi/2, \pi$ the bandwidth $\Delta_w$ strictly vanishes, reducing the problem to a trivial $H_1 = 0$ case with two flat bands of class $U = 1$. One exception are the points $\{\theta = \pi - \varphi, \varphi = 0, \pi/2, \pi\}$ where the bandwidth $\Delta_w$ stays finite but the gap $\Delta_{\varphi}$ vanishes. Here the flat band becomes of class $U = 1$ and touches the dispersive band of finite width (see Fig. 4).

The class $U = 2$ is the largest possible irreducible CLS for $v = 2, m_v = 1$ networks, as can be straightforwardly checked using the above generator construction (see Appendix A). This conclusion can also be verified, e.g., using a band structure calculation. Moreover, we find all one-parameter families of gST chains for which either the onsite energies are equal (dashed-dotted lines in Fig. 3) or a pair of the hoppings $t_{1,2,3}$ is equal (solid, dashed, and dotted lines in Fig. 3). The known ST1 chain is given by the intersection of dotted and dashed-dotted lines—two hoppings are equal $t_1 = t_2 \neq t_3$, and the onsite energies are equal. We discover an intersection point (square symbols in Fig. 3) where all three hoppings are equal $t_1 = t_2 = t_3$ (but the onsite energies differ). This is a new ST2 chain [Fig. 1(c)], which should be easily realized experimentally—simple geometry allows us to make all hoppings equal, an external dc bias will fine tune the onsite energy differences, and the CLS is easily addressed having identical absolute amplitude values on occupied sites.

To summarize, we introduced a flat-band generator—a systematic approach to construct FB networks and a complete classification of flat-band lattices using compact localized (eigen)states of class $U$. This method allows us to construct flat band networks with predefined properties: the number of flat bands, their position with respect to the regular band, etc. We construct the whole FB family of two-band networks with nearest neighbor hopping. We propose a simple testing routine which allows us to conclude whether a given lattice is a FB network or not, without computing the band structure. The boundaries of the existence of the $U = 2$ networks are marked by a linear dependence of the CLS set, such that the network reduces to $U = 1$ networks in complete agreement with our analytical predictions. The experimentally studied sawtooth ST1 lattice is among the obtained $U = 2$ set. We obtain an even simpler ST2 lattice with all hoppings being equal, which should be easily experimentally accessible. Our results pave the way towards a complete description of FBs in networks with more bands and in higher dimensions and towards a full understanding of the topological properties of macroscopic degeneracies associated with FBs [26–30]. Note that in higher dimensions the Bravais lattice classification has to be used, which defines the number of intercell hopping matrices. Further, we expect the shape of a CLS to become another classification property.
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APPENDIX A: ON THE LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF CLS AND THEIR CORRESPONDING BLOCH POLARIZATION VECTORS

1. Sufficient condition for a linearly independent set of CLS

Consider a linearly dependent set of CLS $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j \tilde{\Psi}_j = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(A1)

where $\tilde{\Psi}_j = (\ldots, 0, \tilde{\Psi}_1, \tilde{\Psi}_2, \ldots, \tilde{\Psi}_U, 0, 0, \ldots)$, $\tilde{\Psi}_{1..U}$ are vectors with $v$ components, and $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ is located in the $j$th unit cell.

FIG. 4. The ratio of the dispersive bandwidth to the distance between the flat band and the dispersive band center $\Delta_w/\Delta E$ versus $(\theta, \varphi)$ for $m_v = 1, v = 2, U = 2$ in one irreducible quadrant. The color code shows the value of the ratio.
A necessary condition for that is

$$\sum_{l=1}^{U} \alpha_{l+1} \tilde{\psi}_{l} = 0, \quad \text{(A2)}$$

and thus the set \( \{ \tilde{\psi}_{l} \}_{l=1,2,...,U} \) has to be linearly dependent.

If therefore \( \{ \tilde{\psi}_{l} \}_{l=1,2,...,U} \) is a linearly independent set, the CLS set is linearly independent as well. This result is true for any values of \( v,m_{c},U \) in one dimension. Since the dimension of a linearly independent CLS set is equal to the number of unit cells on the lattice, it will span the entire Hilbert space of the flat band.

2. Linear dependence for \( m_{c} = 1 \) and \( U = 2 \)

Consider a CLS of \( U = 2 \) class \( \tilde{\psi} = (\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}) \), with \( m_{c} = 1 \). Assume that the two components \( \tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2} \) are linearly dependent such that \( \tilde{\psi}_{1} = a \tilde{\psi}_{2} \). Since \( \{ \tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2} \} \) is a CLS, it follows

$$H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{1} = 0, \quad H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = 0. \quad \text{(A3)}$$

This yields

$$\alpha_{l} H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = 0, \quad H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{1} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_{l}} H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{1} = 0. \quad \text{(A4)}$$

Thus \( \tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2} \) are left and right eigenvectors of \( H_{1} \) at the same time, therefore either \( \tilde{\psi}_{1} \) or \( \tilde{\psi}_{2} \) serves as the only component of a CLS of class \( U = 1 \).

Interestingly a similar (but more lengthy) proof can be obtained for \( v = 2, m_{c} = 1, U = 3 \). Given a CLS \( \tilde{\psi} = (\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}, \tilde{\psi}_{3}) \), it can be shown that the linear dependence of \( \{ \tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}, \tilde{\psi}_{3} \} \) implies that the flat band is of class \( U \leq 2 \). Once \( v > 2 \) linear dependence is only a necessary but not a sufficient condition.

3. Orthogonality for \( v = 2 \) and \( U = 2 \)

Consider \( U = 2 \) with \( \tilde{\psi}_{1} \perp \tilde{\psi}_{2} \). Then a suitable rotation of the basis in each unit cell will result in \( \tilde{\psi}_{1} = (1,0) \) and \( \tilde{\psi}_{2} = (0,1) \). This seeming \( U = 2 \) case can be reduced to \( U = 1 \) by redefining the unit cell. Indeed after the above rotation we may denote each site in a unit cell by \( a_{l} \) and \( b_{l} \). Then a CLS is given by \( a_{l} = \delta_{l,0} \) and \( b_{l} = \delta_{l,0+1} \) (up to prefactors and renormalization factors). Redefining the unit cell using \( \tilde{a}_{l} = a_{l} \) and \( \tilde{b}_{l} = b_{l+1} \) turns the above CLS into class \( U = 1 \). Therefore we can conclude that for \( m_{c} = 1, v = 2 \) and \( U = 2 \) and \( \tilde{\psi}_{1} \) and \( \tilde{\psi}_{2} \) must be neither parallel (linearly dependent) nor orthogonal in order for the flat band to be not reducible to \( U = 1 \).

4. From CLS to Bloch polarization vectors

Transforming the original Hamiltonian into Bloch representation yields the Bloch Hamiltonian of rank \( v \):

$$H(k) = \sum_{-m_{c}}^{m_{c}} H_{m} e^{i k m}. \quad \text{(A5)}$$

The eigenvectors of \( H(k) \) are the polarization vectors \( \tilde{u}(k) = \tilde{u}(k + 2\pi) \) which lead to the Bloch eigenstates of the original Hamiltonian with component \( \tilde{u}(k)e^{ik} \) on each unit cell. The set of CLS is given by \( \Psi_{0} = (0,0,0,\ldots,\tilde{\psi}_{1},\tilde{\psi}_{2},\ldots,\tilde{\psi}_{U},0,0,\ldots) \), and \( \tilde{\psi}_{l} \) is located in the \( l \)th unit cell. Since all CLS of a flat band share the same eigenenergy we can construct a new Bloch eigenstate (up to normalization) by computing

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{u}(k) e^{ikl} \tilde{\psi}_{l}. \quad \text{(A6)}$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{u}(k) \sim \sum_{l=1}^{U} \tilde{\psi}_{l} e^{i(U-1)k}. \quad \text{(A7)}$$

The inverse is also true: If a polarization vector for a certain band can be expressed as

$$\tilde{u}(k) = N(k) \sum_{l=1}^{U} \tilde{\psi}_{l} e^{i(U-1)k}, \quad \text{(A8)}$$

where \( N(k) \) is a common prefactor, then the CLS state of class \( U \) is given by \( \tilde{\psi}_{l} \) as defined above. Note, however, that this \( U \) need not be the smallest possible one, i.e., the eigenstate can be decomposed into even smaller CLS.

APPENDIX B: GENERATOR AND BAND STRUCTURE FOR \( U = 2 \) FB NETWORKS

For the \( v = 2, m_{c} = 1, U = 2 \) case we solve the following equations

$$H_{0} \tilde{\psi}_{1} + H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = E_{FB} \tilde{\psi}_{1}, \quad \text{(B1)}$$
$$H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{1} + H_{0} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = E_{FB} \tilde{\psi}_{2}, \quad \text{(B2)}$$
$$H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{1} = 0, \quad \text{(B3)}$$
$$H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = 0. \quad \text{(B4)}$$

We can always diagonalize \( H_{0} \), and gauge and rescale the full Hamiltonian to obtain

$$H_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(B5)}$$

For nonsingular \( \Lambda = E_{FB} - H_{0} \), we find \( \tilde{\psi}_{2} \) from (B2) and insert into (B1) to get

$$\Lambda^{-1} H_{1} \Lambda^{-1} H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{1} = \tilde{\psi}_{1}, \quad \text{(B6)}$$
$$\Lambda^{-1} H_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\psi}_{1} = \tilde{\psi}_{2}, \quad \text{(B7)}$$

where \( \Lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{E_{FB} - H_{0}}. \) Similarly we have

$$\Lambda^{-1} H_{1}^{\dagger} \Lambda^{-1} H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = \tilde{\psi}_{2}, \quad \text{(B8)}$$
$$\Lambda^{-1} H_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{2} = \tilde{\psi}_{1}. \quad \text{(B9)}$$
1. Real $H_1$

Consider all elements of $H_1$ to be real valued. Equations (B3) and (B4) allow us to redefine $H_1$ in terms of unit vectors $|\varphi\rangle$ and $|\theta\rangle$ which are the left and right eigenvectors of the nonzero eigenvalue of $H_1$, and which are orthogonal to $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$:

$$H_1 = \alpha|\theta\rangle\langle\varphi|,$$

$$|\varphi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \\ \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$|\theta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where the scalar products

$$\langle \psi_1 | \varphi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle \psi_2 | \theta \rangle = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B10)

Using these definitions and solving equations (B6) and (B3) we obtain

$$E_{\text{FB}} = \frac{\cos(\theta) \cos(\varphi)}{\cos(\theta - \varphi)},$$

$$|\alpha| = \sqrt{-\frac{\tan(\theta) \tan(\varphi) \csc^2(\theta - \varphi)}{(\tan(\theta) \tan(\varphi) + 1)^2}} = \sqrt{-\frac{\sin(2\theta) \sin(2\varphi)}{|\sin(2(\theta - \varphi))|}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B13)

2. Complex $H_1$

A complex $H_1$ can be parameterized as

$$H_1 = \alpha|\theta, \delta\rangle\langle\varphi, \gamma|,$$

$$|\varphi, \gamma\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi e^{i\gamma} \\ \sin \varphi e^{i\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\theta, \delta\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta e^{i\delta} \\ \sin \theta e^{i\delta} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha$ is a complex number.

Following the same procedure as for real $H_1$ we obtain

$$E_{\text{FB}} = \frac{e^{i\delta} \cot(\theta) \cos(\varphi)}{e^{i\gamma} \sin(\varphi) + e^{i\delta} \cot(\theta) \cos(\varphi)},$$

$$|\alpha| = \frac{2e^{2i(\gamma + \delta)} \sin(2\theta) \sin(2\varphi)}{e^{2i\gamma} \sin(2\varphi) - e^{i\varphi} \sin(2\theta) - 4e^{i(\gamma + \delta)}(e^{i\gamma} \cos(\theta) \cos(\varphi) + e^{i\delta} \sin(\theta) \sin(\varphi))^2}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B14)

Real valuedness of $|\alpha|$ imposes $\delta = \gamma$, and consequently

$$E_{\text{FB}} = \frac{\cos(\theta) \cos(\varphi)}{\cos(\theta - \varphi)},$$

$$|\alpha| = \frac{\sqrt{-\sin(2\theta) \sin(2\varphi)}}{|\sin(2(\theta - \varphi))|}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B15)

Solutions (B13), (B14) and (B17), (B18) are identical. Since $|\alpha|$ is real, solutions exist only in the parameter regions $0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{4} \cap \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \pi$ or $\frac{\pi}{4} \leq \theta \leq \pi \cap 0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$.

In Bloch representation the Hamiltonian reads

$$H_k = H_1 e^{ik} + H_0 + H_1 e^{-ik}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B16)

With the above parametrization (B15) and (B5) the band structure follows as

$$E_{\text{FB}} = \frac{\cos \theta \cos \varphi}{\cos(\theta - \varphi)},$$

$$E_k = \frac{\cos \theta \cos \varphi}{\cos(\theta - \varphi)} + 2|\alpha| \cos(\theta - \varphi) \cos(k + \phi_\alpha), $$

where $\phi_\alpha$ is the phase of $\alpha = |\alpha| e^{i\phi_\alpha}$.  \hspace{1cm} (B17)

3. Degenerate $H_0$

The solutions $\alpha$ and $E_{\text{FB}}$ in (B17) and (B18) diverge for $\theta - \varphi = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\theta = \varphi$ and so does $H_1$. We renormalize the Hamiltonian by multiplying it with $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. Then $H_0$ vanishes, and $H_1$ turns finite.

However, when $\theta - \varphi = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ the dispersion bandwidth in (B20) is finite, and after normalization the dispersive band becomes flat as well. Therefore we have two coexisting flat bands on the lines $\theta - \varphi = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$. According to (B11) and (B12) $\psi_1 \perp \psi_2$. In such a case we can always perform a rotation in each unit cell such that $\psi_1 = (1, 0)$, $\psi_2 = (0, 1)$. A subsequent redefinition of the unit cell turns the CLS into a $U = 1$ class one, see above subsection on orthogonality for $v = 2$ and $U = 2$.

When $\theta = \varphi$, according to (B11) and (B12), $\psi_1 \parallel \psi_2$, therefore linearly dependent, and the flat band turns into the $U = 1$ class. In this case

$$H_1 = |\theta, \gamma\rangle\langle\theta, \gamma| = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos^2 \theta & \frac{1}{2} e^{i\gamma} \sin(2\theta) \\ \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\gamma} \sin(2\theta) & 2 \cos^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B18)

The corresponding Bloch Hamiltonian in momentum space reads

$$H_k = H_1 e^{ik} + H_1 e^{-ik} = \cos k \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos^2 \theta & e^{i\gamma} \sin(2\theta) \\ e^{-i\gamma} \sin(2\theta) & 2 \cos^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, $$

which yields one flat and one dispersive band

$$E_{\text{FB}} = 0, \quad E_k = 2 \cos k. $$  \hspace{1cm} (B19)
4. FB energy equals one of the eigenvalues of $H_0$: reduction to $U = 1$

When the flat-band energy $E_{FB}$ equals to one of the eigenvalues 0, 1 of $H_0$, we have to solve the original equations (B1)–(B4). A simple calculation shows that the only remaining flat-band solutions are again of class $U = 1$.

APPENDIX C: GENERALIZED SAWTOOTH CHAIN

In our FB generator we have considered the canonical form of $H_0$, which was diagonal. We can perform unitary transformations (rotations) of the unit cell basis which will modify $H_1$ and make $H_0$ nondiagonal, turning the whole model into a noncanonical one. Using the rotation matrix

$$ R(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega & -\sin \omega \\ \sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{pmatrix}, \tag{C1} $$

we define $\tilde{\psi}_i = R(\omega)\psi_i$, $\tilde{H}_m(\omega) = R(\omega)H_m R(\omega)^\dagger$, where $m = -1, 0, 1$ and $H_{-m} = H_1^m$ and

$$ H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_1 = a \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \varphi & e^{i\gamma} \cos \theta \sin \varphi \\ \sin \theta \cos \varphi & \sin \theta \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C2} $$

with $|a| = \frac{\sqrt{\sin(\varphi)\sin(\varphi)}}{\sin(2(\theta - \varphi))}$. We consider the case $\gamma = \delta = 0$, thus $H_0$ and $H_1$ read

$$ \tilde{H}_0 = R(\omega)H_0 R(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2 \omega & \cos \omega \sin \omega \\ \cos \omega \sin \omega & \cos^2 \omega \end{pmatrix}, $$

$$ \tilde{H}_1 = a \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta + \omega) \cos(\varphi + \omega) & \cos(\theta + \omega) \sin(\varphi + \omega) \\ \cos(\varphi + \omega) \sin(\theta + \omega) & \sin(\theta + \omega) \sin(\varphi + \omega) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C3} $$

We can always find a value of $\omega$ which will zero one row or one column of $H_1$. This simplifies the noncanonical lattice into a generalized sawtooth chain. It has in general three different hopping strengths and an onsite energy detuning between the two sites in a unit cell. As an example we consider the case when the first column of $H_1$ vanishes:

$$ \varphi + \omega = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{C4} $$

It follows

$$ \tilde{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2(\varphi) & -\cos(\varphi) \sin(\varphi) \\ -\cos(\varphi) \sin(\varphi) & \sin^2(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & t_1 \\ t_1 & \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}, $$

$$ \tilde{H}_1 = a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin(\theta - \varphi) \\ 0 & \cos(\theta - \varphi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_2 \\ 0 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C5} $$

For the particular case of the ST1 chain (sawtooth chain with two hoppings equal, and zero onsite energy detuning, see main text) $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ and $t_1 = t_2$, we find

$$ \varphi = \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad \theta = \arctan(3 + 2\sqrt{2}), $$

$$ \varphi = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta = \pi - \arctan(3 - 2\sqrt{2}). \tag{C6} $$

This leads to the following tight-binding equations:

$$ E_{a_n} = -\sqrt{2}a_n - \sqrt{2}b_{n+1}, \quad E_{b_n} = -\sqrt{2}a_n - \sqrt{2}a_{n-1} - b_{n+1} - b_{n-1}. \tag{C7} $$

The flat band is located at $E_{FB} = 2$ [Fig. 1(b) in the main text]. A compact localized eigenstate has the form $a_0 = a_1 = 1$ and $b_1 = -\sqrt{2}$ (up to a normalization factor) with all other amplitudes vanishing. Detuning the angles $\theta, \varphi$ away from this point we deform the ST1 model, while maintaining one band flat.

Let us require $t_1 = t_2 = t_3$. It follows

$$ \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \varphi = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), $$

$$ \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \varphi = \frac{3\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right). \tag{C8} $$

This is a novel high symmetry sawtooth chain (ST2 chain, see main text). It can be obtained, e.g., with the following matrices

$$ H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{C9} $$

This leads to the following tight-binding equations:

$$ E_{a_n} = -b_n - b_{n+1}, \quad E_{b_n} = -b_n - a_n - a_{n-1} - b_{n+1} - b_{n-1}. \tag{C10} $$

The flat band is located at $E_{FB} = 1$ [Fig. 1(c) in the main text]. A compact localized eigenstate has the simple form $a_0 = a_1 = -b_1 = 1$ (up to a normalization factor) with all other amplitudes vanishing. Note that we can also set other columns and rows of $H_1$ in (C3) to zero, and obtain all points in the $\theta, \varphi$ diagram corresponding to ST1 and ST2 chains. All these points are shown by filled squares and filled circles in Fig. 3 in the main text.


